

Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev Type Inequality and Stein-Wiess Type Inequality on Carnot Groups

Tingxi Hu; Pengcheng Niu*

Department of Applied Mathematics; Key Laboratory of Space Applied Physics and Chemistry,

Ministry of Education, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi,

710129, P. R. China

A Stein-Weiss type inequality on Carnot groups is established by proving the boundedness of an integral operator and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev type inequality on Carnot groups is also derived.

1 Introduction

The Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality on R^N (i.e., HLS inequality, see [1], [2] and [3]) is of the form

$$\left| \iint_{R^N \times R^N} \frac{\overline{f(x)}g(y)}{|x-y|^\lambda} dx dy \right| \leq C_{r,\lambda,N} \|f\|_r \|g\|_s, \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 < r, s < \infty, 0 < \lambda < N$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{\lambda}{N} = 2$, $C_{r,\lambda,N}$ is a positive constant. Stein and Weiss in [4] proved a weighted HLS inequality on R^N , known as the Stein-Wiess inequality, which reads

$$\left| \iint_{R^N \times R^N} \frac{\overline{f(x)}g(y)}{|x|^\alpha |x-y|^\lambda |y|^\beta} dx dy \right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,r,\lambda,N} \|f\|_r \|g\|_s, \quad (1.2)$$

where $1 < r, s < \infty, 0 < \lambda < N, \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{\lambda}{N} = 2$ and $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$, such that $\lambda + \alpha + \beta \leq N$, $\alpha < N/r', \beta < N/s'$, here r' and s' denote respectively the conjugate indice of r and s , $C_{\alpha,\beta,r,\lambda,N}$ is a positive constant independent of the functions f, g .

The HLS type inequality on the Heisenberg group was proved by Folland and Stein see [5, Proposition 8.7 and Lemma 15.3]. Recently, Han, Lu and Zhu in [6] extended (1.1) to the Heisenberg group and derived Stein-Wiess type inequalities with weights.

In this paper we pay attention to Stein-Wiess type inequality on Carnot groups. As a consequence, the HLS type inequality on Carnot groups is derived. The proofs of these results are motivated by ones in [6].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts about Carnot groups and state precisely our assumptions and main results; in Section 3 and Section 4 we prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Lemma 4.4 used in Section 4.

2 Carnot groups and main results

We begin by describing Carnot groups. For more information, we refer to [7] and references therein. A Carnot group G of step r is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group such that its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ admits a stratification

$$\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r = \bigoplus_{l=1}^r V_l,$$

with $[V_1, V_l] = V_{l+1}$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$) and $[V_1, V_r] = \{0\}$.

Denoting $m_l = \dim V_l$, we fix on G a system of coordinates $u = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r)$, $z_l \in \mathbb{R}^{m_l}$. Every Carnot group G is naturally equipped with a family of non-isotropic dilations defined by $\delta_r(r > 0)$:

$$\delta_r(u) = (rz_1, r^2z_2, \dots, r^rz_r), \text{ for } u \in G;$$

the homogeneous dimension of G is given by $Q = \sum_{l=1}^r lm_l$. We denote by du a fixed Haar measure on G . One easily has $(d \circ \delta_r)(u) = r^Q du$.

The group law given by Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula is

$$uv = u + v + \sum_{1 \leq l, k \leq r} Z_{l,k}(u, v), \text{ for } u, v \in G,$$

where each $Z_{l,k}(u, v)$ is a fixed linear combination of iterated commutators containing l times u and k times v . The homogenous norm of u on G is defined by

$$|u| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2r!}},$$

where $|z_j|$ denotes the Euclidean distance $z_j \in R^{m_j}$ to the origin. Such homogenous norm on G can be used to define a pseudo-distance on G :

$$d(u, v) = |u^{-1}v|.$$

Denote the pseudo-ball of radius r about u by $B(u, r) = \{v \in G | d(u, v) < r\}$, and the unit pseudo-ball about origin by $\{|u| < 1\}$.

We introduce L^p norm with the weight w on G with:

$$\|f\|_{L^p(G, w)} = \left(\int_G |f(u)|^p w du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and denote the space of all measure functions with finite weighted L^p norm by $L^p(G, w)$.

Our main results in this paper are

Theorem 2.1 (HLS Inequality with Weight $|u|$, i.e., Stein-Wiess type Inequality). Let $1 < r, s < \infty, 0 < \lambda < Q$ and $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$ such that $\lambda + \alpha + \beta \leq Q, \alpha < Q/r', \beta < Q/s'$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{\lambda + \alpha + \beta}{Q} = 2$, then there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha, \beta, r, \lambda, G}$ independent of the functions f, g such that

$$\left| \iint_{G \times G} \frac{\overline{f(u)} g(v) du dv}{|u|^\alpha |u^{-1}v|^\lambda |v|^\beta} \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, r, \lambda, G} \|f\|_r \|g\|_s, \quad (2.1)$$

where $u = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r), v = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_r) \in G$.

Theorem 2.2 (HLS Inequality with Weights $|z_l|$ ($1 \leq l \leq r$)). Let $1 < r, s < \infty, 0 < \lambda < Q$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < \frac{m_l}{Q - lm_l} \lambda$ such that $\lambda + l\alpha + l\beta \leq Q, \alpha < m_l/r', \beta < m_l/s'$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{\lambda + l\alpha + l\beta}{Q} = 2$, then there exists a positive constant $C_{\alpha, \beta, r, \lambda, G, l}$ independent of the functions f, g such that

$$\left| \iint_{G \times G} \frac{\overline{f(u)} g(v) du dv}{|z_l|^\alpha |u^{-1}v|^\lambda |z'_l|^\beta} \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, r, \lambda, G, l} \|f\|_r \|g\|_s, \quad (2.2)$$

where $u = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r), v = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_r) \in G$.

From Theorem 2.1 or Theorem 2.2 we immediately obtain

Corollary 2.3 (HLS type Inequality on Carnot groups). Let $1 < r, s < \infty, 0 < \lambda < Q$ such that $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{\lambda}{Q} = 2$, then there exists a positive constant $C_{r, \lambda, G}$ independent of the functions f, g such that

$$\left| \iint_{G \times G} \frac{\overline{f(u)} g(v)}{|u^{-1}v|^\lambda} du dv \right| \leq C_{r, \lambda, G} \|f\|_r \|g\|_s,$$

where $u = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r), v = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_r) \in G$.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We first state two versions which are actually equivalent to Theorem 2.1 (see Proposition 3.3 below).

Theorem 3.1. Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < Q$ and $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha < Q/q$, $\beta < Q/p'$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\lambda + \alpha + \beta}{Q} - 1$, then

$$\|Tf\|_{L^q(G, |u|^{-\alpha q})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(G, |u|^{\beta p})}, \quad (3.1)$$

where T is an integral operator with the form

$$Tf(u) := T_\lambda f(u) = \int_G \frac{f(v) dv}{|u^{-1}v|^\lambda}, \quad (3.2)$$

$C = C_{\alpha, \beta, p, \lambda, G, l}$ is a positive constant independent of f .

We notice that (3.1) means

$$\left(\int_G |Tf(u)|^q |u|^{-\alpha q} du \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_G |f(u)|^p |u|^{\beta p} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (3.3)$$

and easily get a equivalent following form of Theorem 3.1 by choosing $f(v) = \frac{g(v)}{|v|^\beta}$:

Theorem 3.2. Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < Q$ and $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha < Q/q$, $\beta < Q/p'$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\lambda + \alpha + \beta}{Q} - 1$, then

$$\|Sg\|_q \leq C \|g\|_p,$$

where S is an integral operator with

$$Sg(u) := S_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta} g(u) = \int_G \frac{g(v) dv}{|u|^\alpha |u^{-1}v|^\lambda |v|^\beta}$$

and $C = C_{\alpha, \beta, p, \lambda, G, l}$ is a positive constant independent of g .

If we denote $s = p$ and $r = q'$, then we see with some simple computations that parameters in Theorems 2.1, 3.1 and 3.2 are same.

Proposition 3.3. Theorems 2.1 and 3.2 are equivalent.

Proof. If Theorem 2.1 is true, then, it follows by the dual argument that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sg\|_q &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_{q'}=1} \left| \int_G \overline{f(u)} Sg(u) du \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|_{q'}=1} \left| \iint_{G \times G} \frac{\overline{f(u)} g(v) dudv}{|u|^\alpha |u^{-1}v|^\lambda |v|^\beta} \right| \\ &\leq C \sup_{\|f\|_{q'}=1} \|f\|_{q'} \|g\|_p \\ &= C \|g\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we compute by using the inequality in Theorem 3.2 to have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \iint_{G \times G} \frac{\overline{f(u)} g(v) du dv}{|u|^\alpha |u^{-1}v|^\lambda |v|^\beta} \right| &= \left| \int_G \overline{f(u)} \left(\int_G \frac{g(v) dv}{|u|^\alpha |u^{-1}v|^\lambda |v|^\beta} \right) du \right| \\
&= \left| \int_G \overline{f(u)} Sg(u) du \right| \\
&\leq \|f\|_r \|Sg\|_{r'} \\
&\leq C \|f\|_r \|g\|_s.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is ended. $\square$

From Proposition 3.3 and the equivalence between Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we immediately get Theorem 2.1 if one proves Theorem 3.1. To do this, we need some preparations.

Denoting $K(u, v) = |u^{-1}v|^{-\lambda} = d(u, v)^{-\lambda}$, it see that (3.2) is changed into

$$Tf(u) = \int_G K(u, v) f(v) dv. \quad (3.2')$$

For the application later, let us note that $d(u, v)$ satisfies the triangle inequality

$$d(u_1, u_2) \leq K_G [d(u_1, u_3) + d(u_3, u_2)]. \quad (3.4)$$

A positive measure $w du$ is said a doubling measure on G if there exists some positive constant C such that

$$\int_B w du \leq C \int_{B'} w du$$

for all pair of concentric ball B and B' satisfying $r(B) = 2r(B')$. Clearly, since

$$\int_{|u| < 2r} |u|^{-\alpha p \tau} du = \int_{|\delta_2 \circ u| < 2r} |\delta_2 \circ u|^{-\alpha p \tau} (d \circ \delta_2)(u) = 2^{-\alpha p \tau + Q} \int_{|u| < r} |u|^{-\alpha p \tau} du,$$

we find that $|u|^{-\alpha q \tau} du$ is a doubling measure on G . Similarly, $|u|^{\beta p \tau} du, |z_l|^{-\alpha q \tau} du, |z_l|^{\beta p \tau} du$ are also doubling measures on G

Sawyer and Wheeden in [8, p.821] demonstrated boundedness for a class of integral operators on the homogeneous space. Noting that the Carnot group is a special homogeneous space, we can apply Sawyer and Wheeden's result to the Carnot group. For convenience, we restate the result in [8] on the Carnot group.

Lemma 3.4. Let $w_1(u)$ and $w_2(u)$ are nonnegative functions on G . Then the operator T in (3.2') is bounded from $L^p(G, w_2)$ to $L^q(G, w_1)$ if both of the following two statements are true:

(1). There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any pair of balls B and B' with radius r and r' satisfying $B' \subseteq 4B$, it holds

$$\left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^{Q-\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\varphi(B')}{\varphi(B)}\right) \leq C_\varepsilon, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\varphi(B) = \sup \{K(u, v) \mid u, v \in B, d(u, v) \geq C(K_G)r\}$ for a ball $B \subseteq G$ with radius r , $C(K_G) = K_G^{-4}/9$, C_ε is a positive constant depending only on ε .

(2). There exists $\tau > 1$ such that $w_1^\tau du$ and $w_2^{(1-p')\tau} du$ are doubling measures, and

$$\varphi(B) |B|^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w_1^\tau du\right)^{\frac{1}{q\tau}} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w_2^{(1-p')\tau} du\right)^{\frac{1}{p'\tau}} \leq C_\tau, \quad (3.6)$$

for all ball $B \subseteq G$, where C_τ is a positive constant depending only on τ .

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We will apply the above Lemma 3.4 to verify Theorem 3.1, that is, we will show (3.5) and (3.6) in Lemma 3.4 are satisfied for the operator defined in (3.2') by choosing $w_1(u) = |u|^{-\alpha q}$ and $w_2(u) = |u|^{\beta p}$.

In fact, since $K(u, v) = |u^{-1}v|^{-\lambda} = d(u, v)^{-\lambda}$, it obtains $\varphi(B) = 9^\lambda K_G^{4\lambda} r^{-\lambda}$, $\varphi(B') = 9^\lambda K_G^{4\lambda} (r')^{-\lambda}$ and

$$\left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^Q \left(\frac{\varphi(B')}{\varphi(B)}\right) = \left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^{Q-\lambda}.$$

Due to $0 < \lambda < Q$, we let $\varepsilon > 0$ small such that $Q - \lambda - \varepsilon > 0$, and see that for $B' \subseteq 4B$,

$$\left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^{Q-\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\varphi(B')}{\varphi(B)}\right) = \left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^{Q-\lambda-\varepsilon} \leq 4^{Q-\lambda-\varepsilon} = C_\varepsilon < \infty,$$

which proves (3.5).

Furthermore, denoting

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \varphi(B) |B|^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}}, \\ M_2 &= \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |u|^{-\alpha q \tau} du\right)^{\frac{1}{q\tau}}, \\ M_3 &= \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |u|^{-\beta p' \tau} du\right)^{\frac{1}{p'\tau}}, \end{aligned}$$

we estimate these M_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Let $\bar{\lambda} = Q \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p'}\right)$, then $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{Q} - 1$ and $\bar{\lambda} - \lambda - \alpha - \beta = 0$. A direct computation implies

$$M_1 = \varphi(B) |B|^{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p'}} = C r^{-\lambda} r^{Q \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p'}\right)} = C r^{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda};$$

if $\alpha q\tau < Q$,

$$M_2 = \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |u|^{-\alpha q\tau} du \right)^{\frac{1}{q\tau}} \leq \left(C_\tau \frac{r^{Q-\alpha q\tau}}{r^Q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q\tau}} = C_\tau r^{-\alpha};$$

and if $\beta p'\tau < Q$,

$$M_3 = \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |u|^{-\beta p'\tau} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p'\tau}} \leq \left(C_\tau \frac{r^{Q-\beta p'\tau}}{r^Q} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'\tau}} = C_\tau r^{-\beta}.$$

Since $\alpha < Q/q, \beta < Q/p'$, it follows $\min \left\{ \frac{Q}{\alpha q}, \frac{Q}{\beta p'} \right\} > 1$ which ensures existence of τ such that $1 < \tau < \min \left\{ \frac{Q}{\alpha q}, \frac{Q}{\beta p'} \right\}$. Thus $\alpha q\tau < Q, \beta p'\tau < Q$, and

$$M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdot M_3 \leq C_\tau r^{\bar{\lambda}-\lambda-\alpha-\beta} = C_\tau < \infty,$$

which shows (3.6). Then by Lemma 3.4, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. $\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 2.2

We need the following conclusion to prove Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 4.1. Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty, 0 < \lambda < Q, 1 \leq l \leq r$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < \frac{m_l}{Q-lm_l}\lambda$ such that $\alpha < m_l/q, \beta < m_l/p', \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\lambda+l\alpha+l\beta}{Q} - 1$, then we have

$$\left(\int_G |Tf(u)|^q |z_l|^{-\alpha q} du \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\int_G |f(u)|^p |z'_l|^{\beta p} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where T is the operator in (2.2), $C = C_{\alpha,\beta,p,\lambda,G,l}$ is a positive constant independent of function f , $u = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r), v = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_r) \in G$.

If one takes $f(v) = \frac{g(v)}{|z'_l|^\beta}$, then the following equivalent statement of Theorem 4.1 is evident:

Theorem 4.2. Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty, 0 < \lambda < Q$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < \frac{m_l}{Q-lm_l}\lambda$ such that $\alpha < m_l/q, \beta < m_l/p'$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\lambda+l\alpha+l\beta}{Q} - 1$, then

$$\left\| \tilde{S}g \right\|_q \leq C \|g\|_p$$

where $\tilde{S}$ is an operator of the form

$$\tilde{S}g(u) = \tilde{S}_{\lambda,\alpha,\beta}g(u) = \int_G \frac{g(v) dv}{|z_l|^\alpha |u^{-1}v|^\lambda |z'_l|^\beta},$$

$C = C_{\alpha,\beta,p,\lambda,G,l}$ is a positive constant independent of function f , $u = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r), v = (z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_r) \in G$

Proposition 4.3. Theorems 2.2 and 4.2 are equivalent.

We easily prove Proposition 4.3 as the proof of Proposition 3.3. Furthermore, we can give the proof of Theorem 4.1 with the similar approach in the proof of Theorem 3.1 by using Lemma 3.4 and the following property.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose $\gamma < m_l$, then $|z_l|^{-\gamma}$ is integrable on $\{|u| < 1\}$.

Now we approve the conclusion and list its proof in the next section.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Choosing $w_1(u) = |z_l|^{-\alpha q}$ and $w_2(u) = |z_l|^{\beta p}$, it is enough to verify that (3.5) and (3.6) in Lemma 3.4 are true. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, one can easily derive (3.5).

To show (3.6), let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \varphi(B) |B|^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}}, \\ M_2 &= \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |z_l|^{-\alpha q \tau} du \right)^{\frac{1}{q\tau}}, \\ M_3 &= \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |z_l|^{-\beta p' \tau} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p'\tau}}, \end{aligned}$$

and estimate M_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), respectively.

Setting $\bar{\lambda} = Q \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p'} \right)$, we have $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{Q} - 1$ and $\bar{\lambda} - \lambda - l\alpha - l\beta = 0$. A direct computation implies

$$M_1 = \varphi(B) |B|^{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p'}} = Cr^{-\lambda} r^{Q \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p'} \right)} = Cr^{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda};$$

if $\alpha q \tau < m_l$, we have $\int_{|u| < 1} |z_l|^{-\alpha q \tau} du < C_\tau$ from Lemma 4.4, thus

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{|u| < r} |z_l|^{-\alpha q \tau} du \right)^{\frac{1}{q\tau}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{|u| < 1} |r^l z_l|^{-\alpha q \tau} (d \circ \delta_r)(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{q\tau}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{|u| < 1} r^{-l\alpha q \tau} |z_l|^{-\alpha q \tau} (r^Q du) \right)^{\frac{1}{q\tau}} \\ &= C_\tau \left(\frac{r^{Q-l\alpha q \tau}}{r^Q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q\tau}} \left(\int_{|u| < 1} |z_l|^{-\alpha q \tau} du \right)^{\frac{1}{q\tau}} \\ &\leq C_\tau r^{-l\alpha}; \end{aligned}$$

if $\beta p' \tau < m_l$, then

$$M_3 = \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |z_l|^{-\beta p' \tau} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p'\tau}} \leq C_\tau r^{-l\beta}.$$

Since $\alpha < m_l/q, \beta < m_l/p'$, we see that there exists $\tau > 1$ such that $\alpha q\tau < m_l$ and $\beta p'\tau < m_l$. Then

$$M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdot M_3 \leq C_\tau r^{\bar{\lambda} - \lambda - l\alpha - l\beta} = C_\tau < \infty,$$

which shows (3.6). Then the proof of Theorem 4.1 is completed by Lemma 3.4. $\square$

5 Proof of Lemma 4.4

We apply the method in [9, p.383] to check Lemma 4.4.

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Firstly, we consider the case $l = 1, \gamma < m_1$. Thanks to the stratification of G and $|u| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2r!}}$, it yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|u|<1} |z_1|^{-\gamma} du \\ &= \int_{|z_r|<1} dz_r \int_{|z_{r-1}|<\left(1-|z_r|^{\frac{2r!}{r}}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{2r!}}} dz_{r-1} \cdots \int_{|z_2|<\left(1-\sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2r!}}} dz_2 \cdot \\ & \quad \int_{|z_1|<\left(1-\sum_{j=2}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r!}}} |z_1|^{-\gamma} dz_1 \\ &= \omega_{m_1-1} \int_{|z_r|<1} dz_r \int_{|z_{r-1}|<\left(1-|z_r|^{\frac{2r!}{r}}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{2r!}}} dz_{r-1} \cdots \int_{|z_2|<\left(1-\sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2r!}}} dz_2 \cdot \\ & \quad \int_{0<\rho_1<\left(1-\sum_{j=2}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r!}}} \rho_1^{-\gamma+m_1-1} d\rho_1 \\ &= \frac{\omega_{m_1-1}}{-\gamma+m_1} \int_{|z_r|<1} dz_r \int_{|z_{r-1}|<\left(1-|z_r|^{\frac{2r!}{r}}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{2r!}}} dz_{r-1} \cdots \\ & \quad \int_{|z_2|<\left(1-\sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2r!}}} \left(1-\sum_{j=2}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{-\gamma+m_1}{2r!}} dz_2, \end{aligned}$$

where ω_n denote the volume of a unit ball in R^n .

It follows with a computation that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|z_2| < \left(1 - \sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2r!}}} \left(1 - \sum_{j=2}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{-\gamma+m_1}{2r!}} dz_2 \\
&= \omega_{m_2-1} \int_{0 < \rho_2 < \left(1 - \sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2r!}}} \left(1 - \sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}} - \rho_2^{\frac{2r!}{2}}\right)^{\frac{-\gamma+m_1}{2r!}} \rho_2^{m_2-1} d\rho_2 \\
&= \omega_{m_2-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{-\gamma+m_1}{2r!}} \cdot \\
& \quad \int_{0 < \rho_2 < \left(1 - \sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2r!}}} \left(1 - \frac{\rho_2}{\left(1 - \sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2r!}}}\right)^{\frac{\frac{2r!}{2}}{2r!}} \rho_2^{m_2-1} d\rho_2 \\
&= \omega_{m_2-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{-\gamma+m_1+2m_2-2}{2r!}} \int_{0 < \rho_2 < 1} \left(1 - \rho_2^{\frac{2r!}{2}}\right)^{\frac{-\gamma+m_1}{2r!}} \rho_2^{m_2-1} d\rho_2 \\
&= \omega_{m_2-1} \frac{2}{2r!} \left(1 - \sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{-\gamma+m_1+2m_2-2}{2r!}} \int_{0 < \rho_2 < 1} (1 - \rho_2)^{\frac{-\gamma+m_1}{2r!}} \rho_2^{\frac{2m_2}{2r!}-1} d\rho_2 \\
&= \omega_{m_2-1} \frac{2}{2r!} B\left(\frac{-\gamma+m_1}{2r!} + 1, \frac{2m_2}{2r!}\right) \left(1 - \sum_{j=3}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{-\gamma+m_1+2m_2-2}{2r!}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes a Beta-function. By the induction, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|u| < 1} |z_1|^{-\gamma} du \\
&= \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \omega_{m_j-1}\right) \frac{r!}{(2r!)^{r-1}} \frac{1}{-\gamma + m_1} \left(\prod_{j=2}^r B\left(\frac{-\gamma + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} i(m_i - 1)}{2r!} + 1, \frac{jm_j}{2r!}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, if $\gamma < m_l$ ($l = 2, \dots, r$), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|u|<1} |z_l|^{-\gamma} du \\
&= \int_{|z_r|<1} dz_r \dots \int_{|z_{l+1}|<\left(1-\sum_{j=l+2}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l+1}{2r!}}} dz_{l+1} \cdot \\
& \quad \int_{|z_{l-1}|<\left(1-\sum_{j=l+1}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2r!}}} dz_{l-1} \int_{|z_{l-2}|<\left(1-\sum_{j=l-1, j \neq l}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l-2}{2r!}}} dz_{l-2} \dots \\
& \quad \int_{|z_1|<\left(1-\sum_{j=2, j \neq l}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r!}}} dz_1 \int_{|z_l|<\left(1-\sum_{j=1, j \neq l}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l}{2r!}}} |z_l|^{-\gamma} dz_l \\
&= \omega_{m_l-1} \int_{|z_r|<1} dz_r \dots \int_{|z_{l+1}|<\left(1-\sum_{j=l+2}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l+1}{2r!}}} dz_{l+1} \cdot \\
& \quad \int_{|z_{l-1}|<\left(1-\sum_{j=l+1}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2r!}}} dz_{l-1} \int_{|z_{l-2}|<\left(1-\sum_{j=l-1, j \neq l}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l-2}{2r!}}} dz_{l-2} \dots \\
& \quad \int_{|z_1|<\left(1-\sum_{j=2, j \neq l}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r!}}} dz_1 \int_{0<\rho_l<\left(1-\sum_{j=1, j \neq l}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l}{2r!}}} \rho_l^{-\gamma+m_l-1} d\rho_l \\
&= \frac{\omega_{m_l-1}}{-\gamma+m_l} \int_{|z_r|<1} dz_r \dots \int_{|z_{l+1}|<\left(1-\sum_{j=l+2}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l+1}{2r!}}} dz_{l+1} \cdot \\
& \quad \int_{|z_{l-1}|<\left(1-\sum_{j=l+1}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l-1}{2r!}}} dz_{l-1} \int_{|z_{l-2}|<\left(1-\sum_{j=l-1, j \neq l}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{l-2}{2r!}}} dz_{l-2} \dots \\
& \quad \int_{|z_1|<\left(1-\sum_{j=2, j \neq l}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2r!}}} \left(1-\sum_{j=1, j \neq l}^r |z_j|^{\frac{2r!}{j}}\right)^{\frac{-l\gamma+lm_l}{2r!}} dz_1
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the proof of $l = 1$, it implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|u|<1} |z_l|^{-\gamma} du \\
&= \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \omega_{m_j-1} \right) \frac{r!}{l(2r!)^{r-1}} \frac{1}{-\gamma+m_l} \left(\prod_{j=1, j \neq l}^r B \left(\frac{-l\gamma+lm_l + \sum_{i=1, i \neq l}^{j-1} i(m_i-1)}{2r!} + 1, \frac{jm_j}{2r!} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. $\square$

Acknowledgment This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271299), the Mathematical Tianyuan Foundation of China (Grant

No. 11126027) and Natural Science Foundation Research Project of Shaanxi Province (2012JM1014); *corresponding author.

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, Some properties of fractional integrals (1). Math. Z. 27(1928), 565-606.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, On certain inequalities connected with the calculus of variations. J. London Math. Soc. 5 (1930), 34-39.
- [3] S. L. Sobolev, On a theorem of functional analysis. Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 4 (1938), 471-479; English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2 34 (1963), 39-68.
- [4] E. Stein, G. Weiss, Fractional integrals on n-dimensional Euclidean space, J. Math. Mech. 7 (1958) 503-514.
- [5] G. B. Folland, E. M. Stein, Estimates for the complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1974), 429-522.
- [6] X. Han, G. Lu, J. Zhu, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and Stein-Weiss inequalities and integral systems on the Heisenberg group, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (11) (2012) 4296-4314.
- [7] F. Ricci: Sub-Laplacians on Nilpotent Lie Groups. Course notes, posted at: <http://cvgmt.sns.it/math/Ricci/corsi.html>
- [8] E. Sawyer, R. Wheeden, Weighted inequalities for fractional integrals on Euclidean and homogeneous spaces, Amer. J. Math. 114 (4) (1992) 813-874.
- [9] W. S. Cohn, G. Lu, Best constants for Moser-Trudinger inequalities on the Heisenberg group, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, April, 2002, Vol.18, No.2, pp. 375-390.